

Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children/Sex Trafficking

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) states that “commercial sexual exploitation of children (CSEC) involves crimes of a sexual nature committed against juvenile victims for financial or other economic reasons” (IOM and NRC 2013, 401). Commercial sexual exploitation of children is a both a domestic and international problem. One count estimates sex trafficking to victimize more than 200,000 children in the United States annually (Bryan 2014; The Polaris Project 2012). An additional 244,000–360,000 children in the United States are at risk each year of being trafficked and sexually exploited (Bryan 2014; Adams, Owens, and Small 2010). In the United States, children are most likely to be sexually exploited by their families or family friends for monetary gain (National Institute of Justice 2007).

Commercial sexual exploitation manifests in numerous forms, such as brothels, sex trafficking, mail order brides, sex tourism, pornography, prostitution, stripping, lap dancing, and phone sex companies. The most common forms of child commercial sexual exploitation are sex trafficking, child pornography, and child sex tourism (Women’s Support Project 2014). One source estimates a child sex trafficker can make as much as much as \$650,000 annually exploiting four children (Walker N.d.). Exact estimates of prevalence and monetary gain, however, vary extensively because true numbers and figures remain unknown due to a lack of awareness about the issue, general underreporting of the crime, and the difficulties associated with identifying victims and perpetrators (Greenbaum 2014).

Sex trafficking is a form of human trafficking, also known as trafficking in persons or modern day slavery (President’s Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014, 5). Human trafficking can appear in several other forms, including forced labor, bonded labor, involuntary domestic servitude, child soldier recruitment, and debt bondage among migrant laborers (U.S. Department of State 2011). Recent estimates by global organizations suggest that around 27 million people worldwide are current victims of human trafficking (Williamson 2012). Sex trafficking is most common in Europe, Central Asia, and the Americas (Greenbaum 2014).

Governmental and nongovernmental organizations define human trafficking as the exploitation of another person through the use of fraud, coercion, or force. The U.S. Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (TVPA) states that “any commercial sex act if the person is under 18 years of age, regardless of whether any form of coercion is involved, is defined as human trafficking” (U.S. Department of State 2011, 8). Therefore, if the victim is considered a minor, then force, fraud, or coercion do not need to be established; the sex act is automatically sex trafficking.

A recent U.S. Department of Justice report found that 82 percent of suspected U.S. trafficking incidents between 2008 and 2010 were classified as sex trafficking. This included over 1,000 reported allegations of adult sex trafficking and over 1,000 incidents of child sex trafficking (Banks and Kyckkelhahn 2011). Similarly, other studies have found that as many as 79 percent of human trafficking cases are identified as instances of sexual exploitation (van der Laan et al. 2011). A 2012 report by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime found that victims from

136 countries worldwide were trafficked in 118 countries, demonstrating the global impact and reach of human trafficking (Greenbaum 2014).

Antitrafficking Legislation

The Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) a law enacted to end human trafficking. It provides an evaluation tool that rates nations on their efforts and ability to end human trafficking. Through the U.S. Department of State, each country is assigned a “tier” that is used to measure how individual nations comply with U.S. antitrafficking policies (Goodey 2008). Tier 1 is given to nations that comply with U.S. antitrafficking polices, and Tier 3 is the lowest ranking, assigned to nations with the lowest level of compliance. Designation as a Tier 3 nation can have serious consequences and can lead to sanctions from the U.S. government, which affect nonhumanitarian and non-trade-related aid (Goodey 2008).

Additionally, the TVPA sets a minimum standard for countries to follow as they strive to actively combat trafficking through the use of a “3 Ps” paradigm: Prevention of human trafficking; Protection of victims; and Prosecution of trafficking offenders (U.S. Department of State 2011; President’s Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014). The prevention and prosecution elements of the “3 Ps” approach are rooted in the victim-response model to human trafficking. Responding to the needs of trafficking victims is generally less complicated compared with trying to resolve the root causes of human trafficking, which often include unequal economies or conflicts and war (Goodey 2008).

The U.S. Congress has reauthorized TVPA four times. The most recent reauthorization, which was part of the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, includes a particular focus on sex trafficking of minors (President’s Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014).

These “3 Ps” are also included in the Palermo Protocol, an anti-trafficking law created by the United Nations, that resembles the TVPA. The Palermo Protocol emphasizes “effective action to prevent and combat trafficking in persons... [it] includes measures to prevent such trafficking, to punish the traffickers, and to protect the victims of such trafficking” (U.S. Department of State 2011). The protocol requires the prohibition and punishment of all human trafficking crimes. A majority of nations, including the United States, have ratified and implemented the Palermo Protocol.

Since the implementation of this protocol, the numbers of prosecutions and convictions for human trafficking has steadily risen worldwide, with 6,017 prosecutions and 3,319 convictions in 2010, almost 2,000 more combined prosecutions and convictions than in 2008 (U.S. Department of State 2011). The Palermo Protocol and the TVPA are international examples of measures that can be used to combat trafficking and legal precedents requiring state parties to act and be accountable for ending CSEC and Sex trafficking.

Characteristics of CSEC and Sex Trafficked Children

Identifying victims of CSEC and sex trafficking, can be difficult because of a general lack of public awareness about the issue; the reluctance of many exploited children to identify themselves as victims; and extreme measures taken by exploiters to hide their victims and their crimes (Walker 2013; President’s Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in

Persons 2014). On average, children first fall victim to CSEC between ages 12 and 14 (Adams, Owens, and Small 2010; Greenbaum 2014). Many youth CSE victims tend to come from vulnerable populations with a serious history of previous abuse. Some research suggests victims are now increasingly younger because exploiters are worried about contracting HIV or AIDS from victims (Adams, Owens, and Small 2010). Research on people who are trafficked shows that victims (juvenile and adult) come from all backgrounds, sexes, nations, and economic levels. In a 2011 report by the Bureau of Justice Statistics on the characteristics of suspected human trafficking incidents, almost 95 percent of sex trafficked victims were female. Over half (54 percent) were 17 years of age or younger. About one fifth of the victims were white, one fifth of Hispanic/Latino origin, and one third were black/African American. The vast majority of sex trafficking victims were U.S. citizens or permanent U.S. residents (approximately 77 percent), while less than 15 percent were undocumented or qualified aliens (Banks and Kyckkelhahn 2011).

The degree of traumatization experienced by CSEC victims is well documented. Sexually exploited persons suffer from high rates of posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), Stockholm Syndrome, memory loss, aggression, fear, depression, anxiety, hostility, anger issues, sexually transmitted disease/infection (STD/STI), physical trauma from beatings, and emotional and psychological trauma from engaging in unwanted sex (Greenbaum 2014; Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011; Raymond and Hughes 2001; Hughes 1999). Furthermore, minors exploited through prostitution are 70-90 percent more likely than non-exploited youth to have been victims of child abuse and they are also 28 times more likely to be arrested for prostitution in their lifetime (Goodman and Laurence N.d.). CSEC victims often suffer extreme shame about their experiences and they may face the stigma associated with being “sexually promiscuous” (Walker N.d.).

Many risk factors at the societal, community, relationship, and individual levels increase youths’ vulnerability to CSEC. The individual level factors include sexual or physical abuse or maltreatment, being a runaways or homeless, system-involvement, such as with the juvenile justice and child welfare systems, being lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender, substance abuse, earlier pubertal maturation, and early adversity experiences (IOM and NRC 2013). In addition, CSEC victims also tend to suffer higher rates of poverty, live in high-crime neighborhoods/environments, have increased rates of mental health issues and higher rates of substance abuse/use or parents who abuse/use substances, and are less likely to be educated or exposed to employment opportunities (Bryan 2014). Societal risk factors include glorification of pimp culture, objectification of women and girls, gender bias, and widespread use of Internet and social media (Greenbaum 2014). Environmental risk factors that allow for higher rates of CSEC and sex trafficking of children include geographical areas with large and international airports, large transient male populations, community violence, street-involved culture/economy, and higher rates of prostitution (Greenbaum 2014).

Although research has indicated that most CSEC victims tend to be girls, in recent years the literature has expanded to recognize the victimization of men and boys. While research is limited on male victims of CSEC, new studies suggest that the number of boys and girls involved in child sex trafficking is likely to be similar in numbers (Walker 2013; Greenbaum 2014). A study in New York City found that 40 percent of CSEC cases in the city involved male victims (Bryan 2014). The gender disparity in awareness and research could be due to the fact

that boys are less likely to be identified as commercially sexually exploited or at risk for victimization (Walker 2013; Bryan 2014). Currently, very few organizations provide services for boys and young men who are victims of sexual exploitation. Consequently, few resources provide valuable information about exploitation of boys and young men. It is known that many CSE boys are homeless or runaways and are significantly less likely than girls to have a pimp or other adult exploiting them (Walker 2013). Boys and young males likely share many of the risk factors for involvement in CSE as girls, such as child maltreatment and family violence (IOM and NRC 2013).

Theoretical Foundation

A majority of jurisdictions in the United States treat child victims of sex trafficking as offenders (Epstein and Edelman 2013). Girls are often picked up for prostitution and then charged for selling sex or other related offenses. They are kept in custody and often have little to no access to a support system or treatment options (Epstein and Edelman 2013). This punitive treatment of CSEC and trafficked victims can be traced back to how prostitution is viewed in many cultures. A literature review suggests two prevailing views when examining prostitution: the view of prostitution as inherently bad and the belief that it should be decriminalized (Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011).

Those who see prostitution as immoral tend to operate under an “oppression” paradigm in which prostitution and sex work is seen as degrading and a prime example of patriarchal aggression and domination (Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011). This perception is further demonstrated by the choice of terms used to describe women and youths engaged in sex work, such as “victim,” “survivor,” and “prostituted persons.” The ‘prostitution as oppression’ paradigm categorically denies prostitution as legitimate work, suggesting that entering into sex work cannot be a rational choice.

Conversely, those who support legalization of prostitution see it from an “empowerment perspective.” From this view, sex work becomes equivalent to all other types of economic transactions (Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011) and is essentially seen as another good that can be purchased. Proponents of this approach use different terminology from those favoring the oppression paradigm. For example, those in the sex industry are referred to as “sex workers” or “providers” (Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011). The empowerment paradigm accepts that these individuals face dangerous situations, abuse, exploitation, and/or coercion, but this view also accepts that selling sex as a commodity can be a rational and legitimate choice for those who choose to engage in it.

The judicial system often seems to follow the empowerment paradigm system and views these young girls as rationally choosing to engage in selling their bodies for sex. As Epstein and Edelman (2013) note, many trafficked girls may not even see themselves as victims initially. They may not conform to the stereotypical image of how a “victim” looks. With the empowerment model, the system sends CSEC victims into the juvenile justice system on prostitution or other related charges. Instead of receiving treatment for their abuse, they are treated punitively, which creates a higher risk for revictimization (Epstein and Edelman 2013). In recent years there has been a movement in the academic and treatment community to recognize commercially exploited children and youth as victims, reflected in the use of such

language as “sexually exploited children,” “trafficked children,” or “sex trafficked children” (Greenbaum 2014).

Pathways to Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children

Commercial sexual exploitation of children creates a culture that perceives children as commodities that can be bought and sold with little regard for their well-being. In many cases of CSEC and child sex trafficking, victims are exploited through more than one form of abuse. For example, predators may take pornographic images of children, sell those images to other child sex abusers, or use the pictures to advertise the victim for sexual services (Walker N.d.).

Research suggests several common manners of entry for CSEC victims. In addition to the risk factors mentioned above (Epstein and Edelman 2013; IOM and NRC 2013), other CSEC cases begin when family members trade or sell a child for drugs and/or money. In addition, a growing number of CSEC and child sex trafficking incidents occur through peer recruitment (Greenbaum 2014; Adams, Owens, and Smalls 2010).

A combined study from Mexico, the United States, and Canada on CSEC found that several core factors often fuel this phenomenon:

- 1) Reliance on prostitution by runaway and thrown-away children to provide for their subsistence needs; 2) preexisting adult prostitution markets in communities with high concentrations of street youth; 3) prior history of child sexual abuse and child sexual assault; 4) poverty; 5) large numbers of unattached and transient males in communities, including military personnel, truckers, conventioners, and sex tourists; 6) for some girls, membership in gangs; 7) promotion of juvenile prostitution by parents, older siblings, and boyfriends; 8) recruitment of children by organized crime units for prostitution; and increasingly, 9) illegal trafficking of children for sexual purposes to the United States from developing countries in Asia, Africa, Central and South America, and Central and Eastern Europe. [Estes and Weiner 2001, 2]

“Survival sex” is another type of entry into commercial sexual exploitation, where sex is exchanged for necessities such as food or housing. Gang involvement is another common entry for girls into sexual exploitation. Girls are seen as having little to no value other than how they can be used, such as for the sexual gratification of male gang members (IOM and NRC 2013).

Pimps play another large role in the recruitment and subsequent sexual exploitation of children. They prey upon children from disadvantaged homes/settings and exploit victims through promises of love, food, money, or shelter (Epstein and Edelman 2013). Several different types of pimps participate in CSEC. The term “gorilla pimp” is used when a child has been kidnapped and held against his or her will. This type of pimp is likely to use violence and intimidation to force victims to comply (Kennedy et al. 2007). The “Romeo pimp” is also referred to as the “boyfriend pimp.” He will use courtship techniques to romance girls and make them feel special and appreciated. Once he has charmed and manipulated them, he then introduces the idea of selling sex (Kennedy et al. 2007).

The Urban Institute reported how young women and girls are recruited into the commercial sex industry (Dank et al. 2014). Analysis of information gathered from eight major U.S. cities suggested that women and girls entered the commercial sex industry as a result of economic need, family and peer encouragement, need for social acceptance, and previous childhood trauma. The report assessed pimps and their recruitment strategies and found that pimps used many different kinds of coercion, force, and fraud when recruiting, managing, and retaining control over their “employees.” Pimps used tactics such as promising material comforts; feigning romantic interest; creating mutually dependent relationships between pimp and employee; using physical violence, emotional abuse, or sexual abuse; and manipulating individuals already under the pimp’s control to recruit and manage other employees. The Urban Institute study also found that the widespread availability and use of the Internet created new ways for pimps to recruit and advertise employees (Dank et al. 2014).

Outcome Evidence

Outcome evidence on prevention and intervention programs for minors at risk or exposed to CSEC is limited (IOM and NRC 2013; President’s Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014). Available research tends to focus on adults who are commercially and sexually exploited and is skewed towards those who engage in street prostitution, which represents only a small fraction of CSEC victims. The research base has been able to provide push/pull factors that contribute decisions to enter into CSE.

A 2013 Institute of Medicine (IOM) and National Research Council (NRC) report on commercial sexual exploitation and sex trafficking of minors in the United States found that CSE and sex trafficking of minors creates serious short and long-term problems, not just for children being abused but also for affected families, communities, and society. The report noted that while efforts to prevent CSE of minors are essential, actual prevention programs are largely absent. Furthermore, programs that identify victims and respond to their unique needs are beginning to emerge, but at present are still largely insufficient, uncoordinated, under-supported financially and on the community level, and under-evaluated. The study called for collaborative approaches to meet all aspects of identifying, rescuing, treating, and ending CSEC. Finally, the report authors strongly emphasized the need to directly confront the demand created by individuals who seek to abuse and sexually exploit children (IOM and NRC 2013).

In 2011 the Campbell Collaboration conducted a systematic review of prevention and intervention strategies that are used to reduce sexual exploitation in human trafficking. The evaluation focused on instances of cross-border trafficking for sexual exploitation. The researchers found that out of 19,398 citations focusing on sex trafficking, only 4 studies presented evaluation research. Of these four, none met the requirements of Level 3 on the Maryland Scientific Methods Scale (SMS) (a Level 3 on the SMS scale requires that studies use a controlled design with both pretest and posttest measures and comparable control conditions). According to the review authors, “no substantive conclusion about the effectiveness can be made, resulting in neither support nor rejection of present anti-trafficking initiatives” (van der Laan et al. 2011, 24). Little is known regarding which programs are effective or ineffective for treating victims of human trafficking for sexual exploitation.

A few examples exist of evidence-based prevention and treatment programs for youth who are sexually abused or traumatized. However, relatively few programs (including those discussed

below) have specifically focused on researching the impact of services on CSEC. One of the first implementations on the local, national, and global levels has been to educate lawmakers, judicial members, communities, and treatment providers about CSEC and child sex trafficking through the use of targeted and specific training programs.

Training on Human Trafficking

Organizations such as the United Nations, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and the Organization for Security and Co-Operation in Europe have made efforts to combat human trafficking (U.S. Department of State 2011). All three organizations implemented zero-tolerance human trafficking policies for their members. Additionally, these organizations developed and regularly implement trainings for their staff on how to recognize, combat, and prosecute human trafficking crimes. However, a large gap still remains between the actual training of individuals and the implementation of intervention strategies identified in those trainings. Specifically, there is a huge disparity in the prosecution of traffickers. A study conducted by Farrell and colleagues (2012) found while the U.S. Department of Justice had received a substantial increase in its budget as an effort to fund the prosecution of federal human trafficking cases, just a small number of prosecutions continued to occur. As of 2012, only 700 cases of trafficking suspects have been prosecuted federally. Furthermore, “only 18 states had brought forward prosecuting charges under state human trafficking statutes” (Farrell et al. 2012, 5).

Farrell and colleagues discovered several factors that discouraged prosecutions of suspected human traffickers on the federal and state levels, including 1) low awareness among law enforcement, prosecutors, judges, and jurors about the crime of human trafficking, 2) victims’ needs were often unmet and they lived in great fear for their lives or the lives of families and friends, discouraging them from testifying against their traffickers, 3) negative attitudes from prosecutors and law enforcement against human trafficking victims, who were often seen as responsible for their own victimization, 4) general lack of knowledge among state and federal police and prosecutors about the existence and requirements of state and federal human trafficking laws, and 5) serious state prosecutorial inexperience using new state human trafficking laws.

A 2008 study by Newton, Mulcahy, and Martin on finding victims of human trafficking found similar results from a survey of law enforcement and prosecutors from 60 counties across the United States. The authors reported confusion and lack of awareness among respondents about anti-trafficking statutes and the characteristics and elements of trafficking. The report also included an examination of records on human trafficking cases from four sites. Although recordkeeping to track investigations was incomplete, available data suggested that in the jurisdictions with specialized training, investigators appeared to write well-detailed reports, even when cases were later identified as not being trafficking incidents. Such detailed information can be useful when later prosecuting trafficking perpetrators (Newton, Mulcahy, and Martin 2008).

Training that increases awareness and knowledge of anti-trafficking laws and allows individuals to notice and identify some attributes of trafficked individuals is just one step in ending the sex trafficking business. However, there are numerous barriers to the identification of sex trafficking victims. Victims are often unaware where to report their abuse, or they may be so traumatized that they cannot vocalize their experiences. Victims are usually told that their

families will be hurt if they report the abuse, so many stay quiet out of fear for their loved ones' safety. Additionally, victims may come from cultures and/or countries where there is a general mistrust or fear of the police or where police and criminal justice corruption are rampant (Goodey 2008). All these factors likely influence the reporting of abuse and the identification of victims and those responsible for trafficking.

President's Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons

In 2012, President Obama created an interagency task force to monitor and combat trafficking in persons. The task force included 17 agencies and members such as Attorney General Eric Holder, former Secretary of Health and Human Services Kathleen Sebelius, and Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson. They created an action plan for 2013–2017 and sought to create a victim-centered approach to treatment, public awareness, and outreach efforts for those affected by human trafficking. The task force outlined four major goals:

- ***Align efforts:*** Promote a strategic, coordinated approach to the provision of services for victims of human trafficking at the federal, regional, state, territorial, tribal, and local levels
- ***Improve understanding:*** Expand and coordinate human trafficking-related research, data, and evaluation to support evidence-based practices in victim services
- ***Expand access to services:*** Provide and promote outreach, training, and technical assistance to increase victim identification and expand the availability of services
- ***Improve outcomes:*** Promote effective, culturally appropriate, trauma-informed services that improve short and long-term health, safety, and well-being of victims (President's Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014)

The coordination of federal agencies to work towards and implement these goals will hopefully lead to the development of sustainable victim services for those currently suffering as sexually trafficked individuals. Currently, however, the initiatives proposed by the taskforce have not been evaluated.

Safe Harbor Laws

Safe Harbor (SH) laws were developed from protections afforded victims of sex trafficking and CSEC created by the TVPA in 2000. They concentrate on rescuing and protecting minors exploited through prostitution, diverting them from the juvenile justice system, and offering them specialized treatment and services (Wayman 2013). While SH laws may consist of a variety of provisions, there are generally two major elements: the decriminalization of prostitution for minors and the provision of services for youths exploited through prostitution. Most states tend to focus on either decriminalization or the provision of services rather than simultaneously addressing both elements. Connecticut and Tennessee, for instance, prohibit the prosecution of minors but offer little else in protection or specialized services. Massachusetts, New York, Ohio, Vermont, and Washington do not decriminalize youths engaged in prostitution (Wayman 2013; Geist 2012) but do offer diversion programs designed to provide needed services. Minnesota and Illinois use a combination of both decriminalization and diversion to provide the most comprehensive legal reform (Geist 2012). Some states that have passed SH laws have also included additional features, such as increasing penalties for pimps and johns, establishing training for law enforcement, and providing access to housing or safe shelters for victims (Wayman 2013).

Decriminalization under SH laws allows minors exploited through prostitution to avoid arrest and prosecution, since they are viewed as victims of trafficking (Wayman 2013). The provision of specialized treatment and services under SH laws concentrates on the emotional, physical, and psychological trauma associated with CSEC and sex trafficking of minors (Geist 2012). Services include shelter/housing, child care, medical care, substance abuse and mental health treatment, job training, and continuing education (Cohen 1987; Cohen, Edberg, & Gies 2011). In some states, youths receive services through state agencies, such as child welfare, because the juvenile justice system may be ill equipped to address the unique needs of CSE and trafficking victims (Geist 2012).

SH laws attempt to change the response and treatment of prostituted juveniles, so all youths are viewed as victims and receive needed services to address their victimization. There are currently 18 states that have offer some form of a SH law in the United States.

Screening Tools

In an effort to better recognize trafficking victims, the Vera Institute recently published a report detailing its findings on an innovative Human Trafficking Identification Tool (Simich 2014). The tool is based on research that the Vera Institute conducted to develop reliable trafficking victim identification practices. The 30-topic questionnaire is statistically validated and designed to obtain evidence on trafficking victimization. A study was conducted to test the screening tool on 180 potential trafficking victims identified through service victim organizations in five states. The study found that the tool could differentiate between labor and sex trafficking victims and also distinguish trafficking victims from other crime victims. Out of the 180 participants, 53 percent were identified as human trafficking victims, with 40 percent reported as sex trafficked and 60 percent as labor trafficked (Simich 2014). However, the study had some limitations, including the fact that most interviewees were adults and most victims were foreign-born. Future evaluations using this tool could lead to improved ways of identifying child victims of CSEC and sex trafficking and providing these children with appropriate treatment.

Prevention Programs

The Stewards of Children program seeks to educate professionals working with children how to recognize and help child victims of sexual abuse. This prevention training program targets teachers, care providers, and other adults who work in a professional capacity with children. The program seeks to improve awareness on the prevalence, consequences, and circumstances that surround child sexual abuse. Stewards of Children uses specific strategies to prevent child sexual abuse from occurring while also providing secondary training to identify if/when abuse occurred and how to appropriately respond to the child's needs. The program's goal is to keep children safe from future abuse while also preventing potential subsequent abuse-related problems.

A study conducted by Rheingold and colleagues (2011) found positive, significant differences between those adults who received training in the Stewards of Children program on measures of child sexual abuse knowledge and self-reported prevention behaviors compared with those adults in the wait-list control condition. These positive differences remained between groups at the 3-month follow-up. Epstein and Edelman (2013) found that many professionals who work regularly with child populations, such as social workers, teachers, and state welfare advocates, have encountered trafficked children but did not recognize that the children had been trafficked. Programs such as Stewards of Children focus on training professionals that work

directly with children to recognize and identify those who may be at risk for sexual abuse or victimization.

Treatment Programs for Victims

Victims of trafficking and CSEC often have serious and complicated mental health needs caused by their traumatic experiences. Often victims experience psychological issues such as posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). A Department of Health and Human Services report on evidence-based mental health treatment for victims of human trafficking found that some of the “most common presentations for victims of child sexual exploitation are substance-related disorders, dissociative disorders, impulse control, conduct disorder, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, antisocial personality traits, and most or all of the Axis IV psychological and environment problems” (Williamson, Dutch, and Clawson 2010, 8).

Unfortunately, information is lacking about effective methods to treat youth because the identification of PTSD in children has only been recognized more recently compared with adults (Williamson, Dutch, and Clawson 2010). The Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (TF-CBT) program is one that has been shown to positively impact children who have experienced negative traumatic life events. The TF-CBT treatment intervention is designed to support children ages 3–18 and their parents in overcoming the negative effects of physical and/or sexual abuse. It targets serious emotional problems, including PTSD, stress, anxiety, fear, and depression, by teaching children new skills to process thoughts and emotions that result from the traumatic events. TF-CBT integrates cognitive and behavioral interventions with traditional child-abuse therapies. Its focus is to help children talk directly about their traumatic experiences in a supportive environment.

Studies by Deblinger, Lippman, and Steer (1996), Cohen and Mannarino (1996), and Cohen and colleagues (2004) found that children assigned to TF-CBT treatment scored significantly lower than control group children on scales measuring PTSD, depression, problem behaviors, sexualized behaviors, and externalizing behaviors. At the 2-year follow-up period, the Deblinger team (1996) found that improvements in externalizing behavior, depression, and PTSD for children were maintained.

More information on the programs can be found at the following two sites:

[Stewards of Children](#)

[Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy \(TF-CBT\)](#)

Programs that target CSEC victims often have multiple limitations. For instance, most available research on treating CSEC victims contains incomplete or inadequate information about the programs and their implementation. The studies usually have very small sample sizes, in part due to the difficulty in identifying and providing services to the population. Additionally, many programs are only funded for a short time, and are often forced to end because there are no additional financial resources to support or expand them (citation).

Conclusions

While national and international agencies have attempted to locate and punish those who seek to take advantage of vulnerable populations through commercial sexual exploitation of children and sex trafficking, much work is still needed in this area (President's Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons 2014). Few evidence-based programs support CSEC victims or educate the public, sex buyers, and traffickers. Research suggests greater emphasis is needed on the prosecution of traffickers and sex abusers (Farrell et al. 2012), as well as increased opportunities for victims to be diverted into appropriate treatment programs rather than be prosecuted for selling sex (Cohen, Edberg, and Gies 2011). Additional research may provide the insight needed to effectively combat and finally end the occurrence of these crimes.

References

- Adams, William, Colleen Owens, and Kevonne Small. 2010. *Effects of Federal Legislation on the Commercial Exploitation of Children*. Juvenile Justice Bulletin. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/ojjdp/228631.pdf>
- Banks, Duren, and Tracy Kyckkelhahn. 2011. *Characteristics of Suspected Human Trafficking Incidents, 2008–10*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. <http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/cshti0810.pdf>
- Bryan, Courtney. 2014. "What Judges Need to Know About Human Sex Trafficking: Screening and Assessment and Matching to Empirically Based Treatment." Presented at the NCJFCJ Annual Conference July 14, 2014, Chicago, Ill.
- Cohen, Marcia I. 1987. *Identifying & Combating Juvenile Prostitution. A Manual for Action*. National Association of Counties Research, Inc. Washington, DC. And National Resource Center for Youth Services. Tulsa, OK.
- Cohen, Judith A., and Anthony P. Mannarino. 1996. "A Treatment Outcome Study for Sexually Abused Preschool Children: Initial Findings." *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 35(1):42–43.
- Cohen, Judith A., Esther Deblinger, Anthony P. Mannarino, and Robert A. Steer. 2004. "A Multisite Randomized Trial for Children With Sexual Abuse-Related PTSD Symptoms." *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 43:393–402.
- Cohen, Marcia I., Mark C. Edberg, and Stephen V. Gies. 2011. *Final Report on the Evaluation of the SAGE Project's LIFESKILLS and GRACE Programs*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
- Dank, Meredith, Bilal Khan, P. Mitchell Downey, Cybele Kotonias, Deborah Mayer, Colleen Owens, Laura Pacifici, and Lilly Yu. 2014. *Estimating the Size and Structure of the Underground Commercial Sex Economy in Eight Major U.S. Cities*. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute. <http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/413047-Underground-Commercial-Sex-Economy.pdf>
- Deblinger, Esther, Julie Lippman, and Robert A. Steer. 1996. "Sexually Abused Children Suffering From Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms: Initial Treatment Outcome Findings." *Child Maltreatment* 1:310–21.
- Epstein, Rebecca, and Peter Edelman. 2013. *Blueprint: A Multidisciplinary Approach to the Domestic Sex Trafficking of Girls*. Based on the Conference "Critical Connections: A

- Multisystems Approach to the Domestic Sex Trafficking of Girls." Held at Georgetown Law, Washington, D.C.
<http://www.law.georgetown.edu/academics/centers-institutes/poverty-inequality/loader.cfm?csModule=security/getfile&pageid=169026>, March 12.
- Estes, Richard J., and Neil Alan Weiner. 2001. "The Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico." Philadelphia, Pa.: University of Pennsylvania, School of Social Work, Center for the Study of Youth Policy.
http://www.sp2.upenn.edu/restes/CSEC_Files/Exec_Sum_020220.pdf
- Farrell, Amy, Jack McDevitt, Rebecca Pfeffer, Stephanie Fahy, Colleen Owens, Meredith Dank, and William Adams. 2012. *Identifying Challenges to Improve the Investigation and Prosecution of State and Local Human Trafficking Cases*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/238795.pdf>
- Geist, Darren. 2012. *Finding Safe Harbor: Protection, Prosecution, and State Strategies to Address Prosecuted Minors*. Legislation and Policy Brief 4(2): Article 3.
- Goodey, Jo. 2008. "Human Trafficking: Sketchy Data and Policy Responses." *Criminology and Criminal Justice* 8(4):421-42.
- Goodman, Miriam and Julie Laurence. N.d. *Child Trafficking Victims and the State Courts, Chapter 4*. Human Trafficking and the State Courts Collaborative.
- Greenbaum, Jordan. 2014. "Child Sex Trafficking and Commercial Sexual Exploitation." Presented at the Annual NCJFCJ Conference, July 15, 2014, Chicago, Ill.
- Hughes, Donna M. 1999. *Pimps and Predators on the Internet: Globalizing the Sexual Exploitation of Women and Children*. New York, N.Y.: Coalition Against Trafficking in Women.
<http://www.uri.edu/artsci/wms/hughes/pprep.htm>
- IOM (Institute of Medicine) and NRC (National Research Council). 2013. *Confronting Commercial Sexual Exploitation and Sex Trafficking of Minors in the United States*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press.
- Kennedy, M. Alexis, Carolin Klein, Jessica T.K. Bristowe, Barry S. Cooper, and John C. Yuille. 2007. "Routes of Recruitment: Pimps' Techniques and Other Circumstances That Lead to Street Prostitution." *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma* 15(2):1-19.
- National Institute of Justice. 2007. *Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children: What Do We Know and What Do We Do About It?* Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/215733.pdf>
- Newton, Phyllis J., Timothy M. Mulcahy, and Susan E. Martin. 2008. *Finding Victims of Human Trafficking*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/224393.pdf>
- NPR Staff. 2014. "Courts Take a Kinder Look at Victims of Child Sex Trafficking." Washington, D.C.: NPR. March 1, 2014.
<http://www.npr.org/2014/03/01/284487140/courts-take-a-kinder-look-at-victims-of-child-sex-trafficking>
- The Polaris Project. 2012. *Human Trafficking Cheat Sheet*. Washington, D.C.: Polaris Project.
- The Polaris Project. 2013. *2013 State Ratings on Human Trafficking Laws*. Washington, D.C.: Polaris Project.
http://www.polarisproject.org/storage/documents/POC/2013-State-Ratings_pamphlet-3pgr.pdf

- President's Interagency Taskforce to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons. 2014. *Federal Strategic Action Plan on Services for Victims of Human Trafficking in the United States 2013–2017*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and U.S. Department of Homeland Security.
<http://www.ovc.gov/pubs/FederalHumanTraffickingStrategicPlan.pdf>
- Raymond, Janice G. and Donna M. Hughes. 2001. *Sex Trafficking of Women in the United States: International and Domestic Trends*. New York, N.Y.: Coalition Against Trafficking in Women.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/187774.pdf>
- Rheingold, Alyssa A., Michael de Arellano, Benjamin Saunders, and Dean Kilpatrick. 2011. *Child Sexual Abuse Training for Child Care Professionals: A Multi-Site Randomized Controlled Trial of Stewards of Children*. Charleston, S.C.: Medical University of South Carolina, National Crime Victims Research and Treatment Center.
- Shively, Michael, Sarah Kuck Jalbert, and Ryan Kling. 2008. Reducing Demand for Prostitution and Sex Trafficking: Evaluation of the First Offender Prostitution Program. Presented at the 2008 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology.
- Shively, Michael, Sarah Kuck Jalbert, Ryan Kling, William Rhodes, Peter Finn, Chris Flygare, Laura Tierney, Dana Hunt, David Squires, Christina Dyous, and Kirstin Wheeler. 2008. *Final Report on the Evaluation of the First Offender Prostitution Program: Report Summary*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/222451.pdf>
- Simich, Laura. 2014. *Out of the Shadows: A Tool for the Identification of Victims of Human Trafficking*. VERA Institute of Justice: Center on Immigration and Justice, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Department of State. 2011. *Trafficking in Persons Report 2011*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of State.
<http://www.state.gov/j/tip/rls/tiprpt/2011/index.htm>
- Van der Laan, Peter H., Monika Smit, Inge Busschers, and Pauline Aarten. 2011. "Cross-Border Trafficking in Human Beings: Prevention and Intervention Strategies for Reducing Sexual Exploitation." *Campbell Systematic Reviews* 9.
<http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/lib/download/1623>
- Walker, Kate. N.d. *Prevalence of Commercially Sexually Exploited Children*. Sacramento, Calif.: California Health and Human Services Agency, California Child Welfare Council.
<http://www.chhs.ca.gov/CWCDOC/CSEC%20Fact%20Sheet%20-%201.pdf>
- Walker, Kate. 2013. *Ending the Commercial Sexual Exploitation Of Children: A Call for Multisystem Collaboration in California*. Sacramento, Calif.: California Health and Human Services Agency, California Child Welfare Council.
<http://www.chhs.ca.gov/CWCDOC/Ending%20CSEC%20-%20A%20Call%20for%20Multi-System%20Collaboration%20in%20CA%20-%20February%202013.pdf>
- Wayman, Richard A. Hooks. 2013. Safe Harbor Laws: Policy in the Best Interest of Victims of Trafficking. Presented at the 2013 ABA Midyear Meeting.
- Williamson, Erin. 2012. *Human Trafficking*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office for Victims of Crime, Training and Technical Assistance Center.
http://www.ncdsv.org/images/OVCTTAC_HumanTraffickingResourcePaper_2012.pdf
- Williamson, Erin, Nicole M. Dutch, and Heather J. Clawson. 2010. *Evidence-Based Mental Health Treatment for Victims of Human Trafficking*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.
<http://aspe.hhs.gov/hsp/07/humantrafficking/mentalhealth/index.pdf>

Women's Support Project. 2014. "Commercial Sexual Exploitation."
<http://www.womenssupportproject.co.uk/content/commercialsexualexploitation/173,172>

Last Updated: August 2014

Suggested Reference: Development Services Group, Inc. 2014. "Commercial Sexual exploitation of Children/Sex Trafficking." Literature Review. Washington, DC.: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. <http://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg/litreviews/CSECSexTrafficking.pdf>

Prepared by Development Services Group, Inc., under Contract #2013-JF-FX-K002.